arXiv:1407.4377v1 [math.NA] 16 Jul 2014

Recovery-Based Error Estimators for Diffusion Problems: Explicit Formulas

Zhiqiang Cai* Shun Zhang†

July 17, 2014

Abstract. We introduced and analyzed robust recovery-based a posteriori error estimators for various lower order finite element approximations to interface problems in [9, 10], where the recoveries of the flux and/or gradient are implicit (i.e., requiring solutions of global problems with mass matrices). In this paper, we develop fully explicit recovery-based error estimators for lower order conforming, mixed, and nonconforming finite element approximations to diffusion problems with full coefficient tensor. When the diffusion coefficient is piecewise constant scalar and its distribution is local quasi-monotone, it is shown theoretically that the estimators developed in this paper are robust with respect to the size of jumps. Numerical experiments are also performed to support the theoretical results.

1 Introduction

A posteriori error estimation for finite element methods has been extensively studied for the past three decades (see, e.g., books by Verfürth [24, 25], Ainsworth and Oden [3], Babuška and Strouboulis [4], and references therein). The widely adapted estimator is probably the Zienkiewicz-Zhu (ZZ) recovery-based error estimator [26, 27] due to its easy implementation, generality, and ability to produce quite accurate estimations. By first recovering a gradient in the conforming C^0 linear vector finite element space from the numerical gradient, the ZZ estimator is defined as the L^2 norm of the difference between the recovered and the numerical gradients.

Despite popularity of the ZZ estimator, it is also well known that the ZZ estimator over-refines regions where there are no error, and hence, they fail to reduce the global error. This is shown by Ovall in [22] through some interesting and realistic examples. Such a failure is simply caused by using continuous functions (recovered gradient/flux) to approximate discontinuous functions (true gradient/flux) in the recovery procedure. By recovering flux and/or gradient in the respective $H(\mathrm{div};\Omega)$ and $H(\mathrm{curl};\Omega)$ conforming finite element spaces, in [9, 10], we developed and studied robust recovery-based implicit and explicit error estimators for various lowest order finite element approximations to

*Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, 150 N. University Street, West Lafayette, IN 47907-2067, caiz@purdue.edu. This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-1217081.

†Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon, Hong Kong, shun.zhang@cityu.edu.hk.

the interface problems. The implicit error estimator requires solution of a global L^2 minimization problem, and the explicit error estimator uses a simple edge average.

The explicit recovery introduced in [9, 10] is limited to the Raviart-Thomas (RT) [6] and the first type of Nédélec (NE) [20] elements of the lowest order for the respective flux and gradient recoveries. This simple averaging approach may not be extended to the Brezzi-Douglas-Marini (BDM) [6] and the second type of Nédélec [21] (ND) elements of the lowest order and to the diffusion problem with full coefficient tensor. The purpose of this paper is first to introduce a general approach for constructing explicit recovery of the flux/gradient for various lower order finite element approximations to the diffusion problem with the full coefficient tensor. The approach, similar to [13], is to localize the implicit recovery through a partition of the unity. For various lower order elements, we are able to reduce the local patch problem to the edge/face patch which contains at most two elements. Hence, by solving a local minimization problem on this two-element patch, we explicitly recover the flux/gradient. We then define the corresponding estimators and establish their reliability and efficiency. When the diffusion coefficient is piecewise constant and its distribution is local quasi-monotone, we are able to show theoretically that these estimators are robust with respect to the size of jumps. For a benchmark test problem, whose coefficient is not local quasi-monotone, numerical results also show the robustness of the estimators.

For the conforming finite element approximation to the interface problem, robust error estimators have been studied by Bernardi and Verfürth [5] and Petzoldt [23] for the residual-based estimator, Luce and Wohlmuth [19] for an equilibrated estimator on a dual mesh, and by us [9] for the recovery-based error estimator. Ainsworth in [1, 2] studied robust error estimators for nonconforming and mixed methods, respectively. Robust error estimators for locally conserved methods were studied by Kim [18]. Recently, we studied robust recovery-based estimators for lowest order nonconforming, mixed, and discontinuous Galerkin methods (see [10, 8]) via the L^2 recovery and for higher-order conforming elements in [11] via a weighted $H(\mathrm{div})$ recovery. Robust equilibrated residual error estimator are constructed by us in [13]. For interface problems with flux jumps, we studied robust residual- and recovery-based error estimators in [12].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the diffusion problem and its variational forms. Conforming, mixed, and nonconforming finite element methods are presented in Section 3. Section 4 introduces the explicit recoveries of the flux/gradient for those finite element approximations. The corresponding a posteriori error estimators are introduced in Section 5 and their reliability and efficiency bounds are established in Section 6. Finally, Section 7 provides numerical results for a benchmark test problem.

2 Diffusion Problem and Variational Form

Let Ω be a bounded polygonal domain in $\Re^2$, with boundary $\partial\Omega = \bar{\Gamma}_D \cup \bar{\Gamma}_N$, $\Gamma_D \cap \Gamma_N = \emptyset$, and measure $(\Gamma_D) \neq 0$, and let $\mathbf{n}$ be the outward unit vector normal to the boundary. Consider diffusion equation

$$-\nabla \cdot (A(x)\nabla u) = f \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \tag{2.1}$$

with boundary conditions

$$-A\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n} = g_{_N} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_{_N} \quad \text{and} \quad u = g_{_D} \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma_{_D}. \tag{2.2}$$

For simplicity of presentation, assume that $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, that $g_{_D}$ and $g_{_N}$ are piecewise affine functions and constants, respectively, and that A is a symmetric, positive definite piecewise constant matrix.

Here and thereafter, we use standard notations and definitions for the Sobolev spaces. Let

$$H^1_{g,D}(\Omega) = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) \,|\, v = g_{_D} \text{ on } \Gamma_D\} \quad \text{and} \quad H^1_D(\Omega) = H^1_{0,D}(\Omega).$$

Then the corresponding variational problem is to find $u \in H^1_{g,D}(\Omega)$ such that

$$a(u,\, v) \equiv (A\nabla u, \nabla v) = (f, v) - (g_{_N}, v)_{\Gamma_{_N}} \equiv f(v) \quad \forall\, v \in H^1_D(\Omega), \tag{2.3}$$

where $(\cdot,\cdot)_\omega$ is the L^2 inner product on the domain ω. The subscript ω is omitted when $\omega = \Omega$.

In two dimensions, for $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau_1,\, \tau_2)^t$, define the divergence and curl operators by

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} := \frac{\partial \tau_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial \tau_2}{\partial x_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \times \boldsymbol{\tau} := \frac{\partial \tau_2}{\partial x_1} - \frac{\partial \tau_1}{\partial x_2},$$

respectively. For a scalar-valued function v, define the operator $\nabla^\perp$ by

$$\nabla^\perp v := (\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_2},\, -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_1})^t.$$

We shall use the following Hilbert spaces

$$H(\mathrm{div};\Omega) = \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in L^2(\Omega)^2 |\, \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \in L^2(\Omega)\}$$

$$\text{and} \qquad H(\mathrm{curl};\Omega) = \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in L^2(\Omega)^2 |\, \nabla \times \boldsymbol{\tau} \in L^2(\Omega)\}$$

equipped with the norms

$$\|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{H(\mathrm{div};\,\Omega)} = \left(\|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|^2_{0,\Omega} + \|\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}\|^2_{0,\Omega}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{H(\mathrm{curl};\,\Omega)} = \left(\|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|^2_{0,\Omega} + \|\nabla \times \boldsymbol{\tau}\|^2_{0,\Omega}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

respectively. Let

$$\begin{aligned} H_{g,N}(\mathrm{div};\Omega) &= \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in H(\mathrm{div};\Omega) |\, \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\Gamma_{_N}} = g_{_N}\}, \quad H_N(\mathrm{div};\Omega) = H_{0,N}(\mathrm{div};\Omega), \\ \text{and} \quad H_D(\mathrm{curl};\Omega) &= \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in H(\mathrm{curl};\Omega) |\, \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{t}\big|_{\Gamma_{_D}} = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2)^t$ and $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2)^t = (-n_2, n_1)^t$ are the unit vectors outward normal to and tangent to the boundary $\partial\Omega$, respectively.

Define the flux by

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = -A(x)\nabla u \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

then the mixed variational formulation is to find $(\boldsymbol{\sigma},\, u) \in H_{g,N}(\mathrm{div};\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (A^{-1}\boldsymbol{\sigma},\, \boldsymbol{\tau}) - (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau},\, u) &= -(\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{n}, g_{_D})_{\Gamma_{_D}} & \forall\, \boldsymbol{\tau} \in H_N(\mathrm{div};\Omega), \\ (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma},\, v) &= (f,\, v) & \forall\, v \in L^2(\Omega). \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

3 Finite Element Approximation

3.1 Finite Element Spaces

For simplicity, consider only triangular elements. Let $\mathcal{T} = \{K\}$ be a regular triangulation of the domain Ω, and denote by $h_{_K}$ the diameter of the element K. We assume that A is piecewise constant matrix on the mesh $\mathcal{T}$. Denote the set of all nodes of the triangulation by $\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}_{_I} \cup \mathcal{N}_{_D} \cup \mathcal{N}_{_N}$, where $\mathcal{N}_{_I}$ is the set of all interior nodes and $\mathcal{N}_{_D}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{_N}$ are the sets of all boundary nodes belonging to the respective $\overline{\Gamma}_D$ and Γ_N. Denote the set of all edges of the triangulation by $\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E}_{_I} \cup \mathcal{E}_{_D} \cup \mathcal{E}_{_N}$, where $\mathcal{E}_{_I}$ is the set of all interior element edges and $\mathcal{E}_{_D}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{_N}$ are the sets of all boundary edges belonging to the respective Γ_D and Γ_N.

For each $F \in \mathcal{E}$, denote by $\mathbf{n}_{_F} = (n_{1,_F}, n_{2,_F})^t$ a unit vector normal to F; then $\mathbf{t}_{_F} = -(n_{2,_F}, n_{1,_F})^t$ is a unit vector tangent to F. Let $K_{_F}^-$ and $K_{_F}^+$ be two elements sharing the common edge F such that the unit outward normal vector of $K_{_F}^-$ coincide with $\mathbf{n}_{_F}$. When $F \in \mathcal{E}_{_D} \cup \mathcal{E}_{_N}$, $\mathbf{n}_{_F}$ is the unit outward vector normal to $\partial\Omega$ and denote by $K_{_F}^-$ the element having the edge F. For interior edges $F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}$, the selection of $\mathbf{n}_{_F}$ is arbitrary but globally fixed. For a function v defined on $K_{_F}^- \cup K_{_F}^+$, denote its traces on F by $v|_{_F}^-$ and $v|_{_F}^+$, respectively. The jump over the edge F is denoted by

$$[\![v]\!]_{_F} := \begin{cases} v|_{_F}^- - v|_{_F}^+ & F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}, \\ v|_{_F}^- & F \in \mathcal{E}_{_D} \cup \mathcal{E}_{_N}. \end{cases}$$

(When there is no ambiguity, the subscript or superscript F in the designation of jump and other places will be dropped.)

For each $K \in \mathcal{T}$, let $P_k(K)$ be the space of polynomials of degree k. Denote the linear conforming and nonconforming (Crouzeix-Raviart) finite element spaces [15, 17] associated with the triangulation $\mathcal{T}$ by

$$S = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) \,\big|\, v|_K \in P_1(K) \quad \forall\ K \in \mathcal{T}\}$$
$$\textit{and} \quad S^{nc} = \{v \in L^2(\Omega) \,\big|\, v|_K \in P_1(K)\ \forall\ K \in \mathcal{T},\ \text{and } v \,\text{is continuous at}\, m_{_F}\ \forall\, F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}\},$$

respectively. Let

$$S_{g,_D} = \{v \in S |\, v = g_{_D} \text{ on } \Gamma_{_D}\}, \qquad S_{g,_D}^{nc} = \{v \in S^{nc} \,|\, v(m_{_F}) = g_{_D}(m_{_F})\ \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_{_D}\},$$
$$S_{_D} = \{v \in S |\, v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_{_D}\}, \qquad S_{_D}^{nc} = \{v \in S^{nc} \,|\, v(m_{_F}) = 0\ \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_{_D}\}.$$

The $H(\mathrm{div};\,\Omega)$ conforming Raviart-Thomas (RT) and Brezzi-Douglas-Marini (BDM) spaces [6] of the lowest order are defined by

$$RT = \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in H(\mathrm{div};\,\Omega) \big|\, \boldsymbol{\tau}|_K \in RT(K)\ \forall\, K \in \mathcal{T}\}$$
$$\text{and} \qquad BDM = \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in H(\mathrm{div};\,\Omega) \big|\, \boldsymbol{\tau}|_K \in BDM(K)\ \ \forall\ K \in \mathcal{T}\},$$

respectively, where $RT(K) = P_0(K)^2 + (x_1, x_2)^t\, P_0(K)$ and $BDM(K) = P_1(K)^2$. The $H(\mathrm{curl}\,;\,\Omega)$-conforming first [20] and second [21] types of Nédélec spaces of the lowest

order are defined by

$$NE = \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in H(\mathrm{curl};\Omega) \,\big|\, \boldsymbol{\tau}|_K \in NE(K) \,\forall\, K \in \mathcal{T}\}$$

$$\text{and} \qquad ND = \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in H(\mathrm{curl};\Omega) \,\big|\, \boldsymbol{\tau}|_K \in ND(K) \;\forall\, K \in \mathcal{T}\},$$

respectively, where $NE(K) = P_0(K)^2 + (x_2, -x_1)^t P_0(K)$ and $ND(K) = P_1(K)^2$. For convenience, denote $RT(K)$ and $BDM(K)$ by $\mathcal{V}(K)$, RT and BDM by $\mathcal{V}$, $NE(K)$ and $ND(K)$ by $\mathcal{W}(K)$, and NE and ND by $\mathcal{W}$. Also, let

$$P_0 = \{v \in L^2(\Omega) \,\big|\, v|_K \in P_0(K) \;\forall\; K \in \mathcal{T}\}.$$

Definitions and properties of bases for the RT, BDM, NE, and ND spaces on an element K are presented in Appendix A.

Finally, we define the discrete gradient, divergence, and curl operators by

$$(\nabla_h v)|_K := \nabla(v|_K), \quad (\nabla_h \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau})|_K := \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{\tau}|_K), \quad \text{and} \quad (\nabla_h \times \boldsymbol{\tau})|_K := \nabla \times (\boldsymbol{\tau}|_K)$$

for all $K \in \mathcal{T}$, respectively.

3.2 Finite Element Approximation

The conforming finite element method is to seek $u_c \in S_{g,D}$ such that

$$(A\nabla u_c,\, \nabla v) \;=\; (f, v) \qquad \forall\, v \in S_{_D}, \tag{3.1}$$

the mixed finite element method is to seek $(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_m, u_m) \in (\mathcal{V} \cap H_{g,N}(\mathrm{div};\,\Omega)) \times P_0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} (A^{-1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_m,\, \boldsymbol{\tau}) - (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau},\, u_m) \;=\; -(\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{n}, g_{_D})_{\Gamma_{_D}} & \forall\; \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{V} \cap H_N(\mathrm{div};\Omega), \\ (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}_m,\, v) \;=\; (f,\, v) & \forall\; v \in P_0, \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

and the nonconforming finite element method is to find $u_{nc} \in S^{nc}_{g,D}$ such that

$$(A\nabla_h u_{nc},\, \nabla_h v) \;=\; (f, v) \qquad \forall\, v \in S^{nc}_{_D}. \tag{3.3}$$

4 Explicit Flux and Gradient Recoveries

In [9, 10, 8], we studied flux and/or gradient recoveries for various lower order finite element approximations to the diffusion problem. A unique feature of those recoveries is that the recovered quantities are in proper finite element spaces. However, those recoveries require solutions of global problems with mass matrices. In this section, we introduce explicit recovery procedures. This is done by first decomposing the error of the flux/gradient through a partition of the unity and then approximating the flux/gradient error by local patch problems. The partition of the unity is based on nodal basis functions of the non-conforming linear element, and hence the local patch problems contain at most two elements.

4.1 Explicit Flux Recovery for Conforming Method

Let u_c be the conforming linear finite element approximation defined in (3.1). Denote by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_c = -A\nabla u_c, \quad e_c = u - u_c, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}_c = \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_c = -A\nabla e_c,$$

the numerical flux, the solution error, and the flux error, respectively. In this section, we introduce an explicit flux recovery procedure. This will be done through approximating the error flux $\mathbf{E}_c$ by local patch problems.

To this end, let $\phi_{_F}^{nc}(\mathbf{x}) \in S^{nc}$ be the nodal basis function of the linear nonconforming element associated with the edge $F \in \mathcal{E}$. Denote by

$$\omega_{_F} = \text{supp}(\phi_{_F}^{nc}(\mathbf{x}))$$

the support of $\phi_{_F}^{nc}$, which contains either two or one triangles for the respective interior or boundary edges. Denote the collection of triangles in $\omega_{_F}$ by

$$\mathcal{T}_{_F} = \{K \in \mathcal{T} : \omega_{_F} \cap K \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_{b,_F}$ be the collection of the boundary edges of $\omega_{_F}$ that does not contain the edge F. Then the collection of edges of triangles in $\mathcal{T}_{_F}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}_{_F} = \{E \in \mathcal{E} : E \cap \overline{\omega}_{_F} \neq \emptyset\} = \{F\} \cup \mathcal{E}_{b,_F} \quad \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}.$$

It is also easy to check that

$$\phi_{_F}^{nc}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 1 \quad \text{on} \quad F \quad \text{and} \quad \int_E \phi_{_F}^{nc}\, ds = 0 \quad \forall\ E \in \mathcal{E}_{b,_F}. \tag{4.1}$$

The set of functions $\{\phi_{_F}^{nc}\}_{F\in\mathcal{E}}$ forms a partition of the unity in Ω:

$$\sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}} \phi_{_F}^{nc}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 1 \quad \forall\, \mathbf{x} \in \Omega,$$

which leads to the following decomposition of the error flux:

$$\mathbf{E}_c = \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}} \big(\phi_{_F}^{nc}\, \mathbf{E}_c\big) = \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}} \big(-\phi_{_F}^{nc} A\nabla e_c\big).$$

On edge $F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I} \cup \mathcal{E}_{_N}$, denote the normal components of the numerical flux by

$$\hat{\sigma}_{c,_F}^{+} = \big(\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_c|_{K_{_F}^{+}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{_F}\big)|_{_F} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\sigma}_{c,_F}^{-} = \big(\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_c|_{K_{_F}^{-}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{_F}\big)|_{_F} \tag{4.2}$$

and the jump of the numerical flux by

$$j_{f,_F}^{c} \equiv [\![\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_c \cdot \mathbf{n}_{_F}]\!]_{_F} = \begin{cases} \hat{\sigma}_{c,_F}^{-} - \hat{\sigma}_{c,_F}^{+}, & \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}, \\ \hat{\sigma}_{c,_F}^{-} - g_{_N}, & \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_{_N}. \end{cases}$$

By the first equality in (4.1) and the continuity of the normal component of the true flux, it is easy to see that the jump of the normal component of the local error flux $\phi_{F}^{nc}\,\mathbf{E}_c$ on edge $F \in \mathcal{E}_{I} \cup \mathcal{E}_{N}$ satisfies

$$[\![\phi_{F}^{nc}\,\mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{n}_{F}]\!]_{F} = -j_{f,F}^{c}. \tag{4.3}$$

Note that

$$\phi_{F}^{nc}\,\mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{n}_{F} = \big(-\phi_{F}^{nc} A \nabla e_c\big) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{E} \approx 0 \quad \text{on} \quad E \in \mathcal{E}_{b,F}.$$

Therefore, we introduce the following approximation to the local error flux $\phi_{F}^{nc}\,\mathbf{E}_c$ on the local patch ω_{F}:

(1) for every $F \in \mathcal{E}_{D}$, set

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,F}^{\Delta} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad K_{F}^{-}; \tag{4.4}$$

(2) for every edge $F \in \mathcal{E}_{I} \cup \mathcal{E}_{N}$, find $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,F}^{\Delta} \in \mathcal{V}_{-1,F}^{c}$ such that

$$\|A^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,F}^{\Delta}\|_{0,\omega_{F}} = \min_{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{V}_{-1,F}^{c}} \|A^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{0,\omega_{F}}, \tag{4.5}$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{-1,F}^{c}$ with $\mathcal{V} = RT$ or BDM is a local finite element space defined by

$$\mathcal{V}_{-1,F}^{c} = \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in L^2(\omega_{F}) \big|\, \boldsymbol{\tau}|_{K} \in \mathcal{V}(K)\, \forall\, K \in \mathcal{T}_{F},\ [\![\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{F}]\!]_{F} = -j_{f,F}^{c},\ \boldsymbol{\tau}|_{E} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{E} = 0\, \forall\, E \in \mathcal{E}_{b,F}\}.$$

With the approximations defined in (4.4) and (4.5), the global approximation to the error flux is then defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c}^{\Delta} = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{E}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,F}^{\Delta} = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{E}_{I}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,F}^{\Delta} + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{E}_{N}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,F}^{\Delta}. \tag{4.6}$$

This yields the following recovered flux for the conforming linear element:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c}^{\Delta} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{c} \in H(\mathrm{div}; \Omega). \tag{4.7}$$

The fact that $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c} \in H(\mathrm{div}; \Omega)$ follows from (4.3) that

$$[\![\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{F}]\!]_{F} = [\![(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c}^{\Delta} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{c}) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{F}]\!]_{F} = -j_{f,F}^{c} + [\![\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{c} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{F}]\!]_{F} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad F \in \mathcal{E}_{I}.$$

4.1.1 Solution of (4.5)

The recovered flux defined in (4.7) requires solutions of the local problems defined in (4.5), which are constrained minimization problems. This section studies solutions of (4.5).

To this end, let $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{F}^{rt}$ be the local RT basis function given in (A.1) in Appendix A, define the global RT basis function associated with the edge F by

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{F}^{rt} = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{F}^{rt}|_{K_{F}^{-}}, & \mathbf{x} \in K_{F}^{-}, \\ -\boldsymbol{\phi}_{F}^{rt}|_{K_{F}^{+}}, & \mathbf{x} \in K_{F}^{+}, \\ 0, & \mathbf{x} \notin \omega_{F}, \end{cases} \quad \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_{I} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\psi}_{F}^{rt} = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{F}^{rt}|_{K_{F}^{-}}, & \mathbf{x} \in K_{F}^{-}, \\ 0, & \mathbf{x} \notin \omega_{F}, \end{cases} \quad \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_{D} \cup \mathcal{E}_{N}.$$

for any $F \in \mathcal{E}_I$ and by

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_F^{rt} = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\phi}_F^{rt}|_{K_F^-} & \mathbf{x} \in K_F^-, \\ 0 & \mathbf{x} \notin \omega_F \end{cases}$$

for any $F \in \mathcal{E}_D \cup \mathcal{E}_N$. Denote by $\boldsymbol{\psi}_F^{rt,-}$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}_F^{rt,+}$ the restriction of $\boldsymbol{\psi}_F^{rt}$ on K_F^- and K_F^+, respectively. To solve (4.5), set

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j,F}^c = -j_{f,F}^c \boldsymbol{\psi}_F^{rt,-} \quad \text{on} \quad K_F^- \tag{4.8}$$

for any $F \in \mathcal{E}_N$ and set

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j,F}^c = \begin{cases} -j_{f,F}^c \boldsymbol{\psi}_F^{rt,-}, & \text{on} \quad K_F^-, \\ 0, & \text{on} \quad K_F^+ \end{cases} \tag{4.9}$$

for any $F \in \mathcal{E}_I$. By (A.5), it is easy to check that for $F \in \mathcal{E}_I \cup \mathcal{E}_N$

$$[\![\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j,F}^c \cdot \mathbf{n}_F]\!]_F = -j_{f,F}^c \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j,F}^c \cdot \mathbf{n}_E = 0 \quad \text{on } E \in \mathcal{E}_{b,F}.$$

Hence, for any Neumman boundary edge $F \in \mathcal{E}_N$, we have

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,F}^\Delta = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j,F}^c \quad \text{on} \quad K_F^-, \tag{4.10}$$

and for any interior edge $F \in \mathcal{E}_I$, we have

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,F}^\Delta - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j,F}^c \in H(\mathrm{div}; \omega_F) \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,F}^\Delta - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j,F}^c\right)|_E \cdot \mathbf{n}_E = 0 \quad \forall\, E \in \mathcal{E}_{b,F}.$$

Let

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{c,F} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,F}^\Delta - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j,F}^c, \quad H_0(\mathrm{div}; \omega_F) = \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in H(\mathrm{div}; \omega_F) \,|\, \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial \omega_F} = 0\},$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}_F^c = \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in H_0(\mathrm{div}; \omega_F) \,|\, \boldsymbol{\tau}|_K \in \mathcal{V}(K) \;\forall\, K \in \mathcal{T}_F\},$$

then the minimization problem in (4.5) for $F \in \mathcal{E}_I$ is equivalent to finding $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{c,F} \in \mathcal{V}_F^c$ such that

$$\|A^{-1/2}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{c,F} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j,F}^c\right)\|_{0,\omega_F} = \min_{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{V}_F^c} \|A^{-1/2}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j,F}^c\right)\|_{0,\omega_F}.$$

The corresponding variational formulation is to find $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{c,F} \in \mathcal{V}_F^c$ such that

$$\left(A^{-1}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{c,F}, \boldsymbol{\tau}\right)_{0,\omega_F} = -\left(A^{-1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j,F}^c, \boldsymbol{\tau}\right)_{0,\omega_F} \quad \forall\, \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{V}_F^c. \tag{4.11}$$

Note that for any interior edge (4.11) has either one (RT) or two (BDM) unknowns. Their explicit formulas will be introduced in the subsequent section.

4.1.2 Explicit Formula for Flux Recovery

This section derives explicit formulas for the solution of (4.11) and, hence, for the *RT* and *BDM* recoveries.

First, we consider the *RT* recovery. Since $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{c,rt,_F} \in RT^c_{_F} \subset H_0(\mathrm{div};\omega_{_F})$, we have

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{c,rt,_F} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{c,rt,_F} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{c}_{j,_F} = a_{rt,_F} j^c_{f,_F} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{rt}_{_F} \quad \text{on } \omega_{_F}$$

for all $F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}$, which, together with (4.11), yields

$$a_{rt,_F} = \frac{\beta^-_{rt,_F}}{\beta^-_{rt,_F} + \beta^+_{rt,_F}} \quad \text{with} \quad \beta^{\pm}_{rt,_F} = \left(A^{-1}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{rt}_{_F},\, \boldsymbol{\psi}^{rt}_{_F}\right)_{K^{\pm}_F}.$$

Hence, for any interior edge $F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}$, we have

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{c,rt,_F} = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{c,rt,_F} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{c}_{j,_F} = \begin{cases} -\left(1 - a_{rt,_F}\right) j^c_{f,_F} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{rt,-}_{_F}, & \text{on} \quad K^-_{_F}, \\ a_{rt,_F} j^c_{f,_F} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{rt,+}_{_F}, & \text{on} \quad K^+_{_F}. \end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

Combining with (4.6) and (4.10), the global approximation to the error flux is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{c,rt} = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{c,rt,_F} - \sum_{F \in \mathcal{E}_{_N}} j^c_{f,_F} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{rt,-}_{_F} \tag{4.13}$$

with $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{c,rt,_F}$ defined in (4.12).

Since the numerical flux is a piecewise constant vector, it has the following local representation on each element $K \in \mathcal{T}$ (see Lemma 4.4 of [9]):

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_c|_{_K} = \sum_{F \in \partial K} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_c|_{_F} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{_K}\right) \boldsymbol{\phi}^{rt}_{_F},$$

where $\mathbf{n}_{_K}$ is the unit outward vector normal to ∂K. Globally, for any interior edge $F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}$, we have

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}^{c}_{_F} = \begin{cases} \hat{\sigma}^-_{c,_F} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{rt,-}_{_F}, & \text{on} \quad K^-_{_F}, \\ \\ \hat{\sigma}^+_{c,_F} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{rt,+}_{_F}, & \text{on} \quad K^+_{_F}. \end{cases} \tag{4.14}$$

Now, by (4.7) and (4.13), the explicit formula for the recovered flux using the RT element is then

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{rt}_{c} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{c,rt} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_c = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{E}} \sigma^{rt}_{_{c,F}} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{rt}_{_F}, \tag{4.15}$$

where the nodal value (i.e., the normal component of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{rt}_{c}$ on the edge F), $\sigma^{rt}_{_{c,F}}$, is given by

$$\sigma^{rt}_{_{c,F}} = \begin{cases} a_{rt,_F} \hat{\sigma}^-_{c,_F} + \left(1 - a_{rt,_F}\right) \hat{\sigma}^+_{c,_F}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}, \\ g_{_N}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{_N}, \\ \hat{\sigma}^-_{c,_F}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{_D} \end{cases} \tag{4.16}$$

with the nodal values of the numerical fluxes, $\hat{\sigma}_{c,F}^{+}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{c,F}^{-}$, defined in (4.2). Note that for any interior edge $F \in \mathcal{E}_I$, the nodal value of the recovered flux is an average of the numerical fluxes.

For interface problems, the recovered flux in (4.15) and the resulting estimator are similar to those introduced and analyzed in [9]. To this end, let $A|_K = \alpha_K I$ for any $K \in \mathcal{T}$, where α_K and I are constant and the identity matrix, respectively. Let

$$\alpha_F^- = \alpha_{K_F^-} \quad \textit{and} \quad \alpha_F^+ = \alpha_{K_F^+},$$

then

$$\beta_F^- = \frac{1}{\alpha_F^-}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_F^{rt},\, \boldsymbol{\psi}_F^{rt}\right)_{K_F^-} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_F^+ = \frac{1}{\alpha_F^+}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_F^{rt},\, \boldsymbol{\psi}_F^{rt}\right)_{K_F^+}.$$

For a regular triangulation, the ratio of $\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_F^{rt},\, \boldsymbol{\psi}_F^{rt}\right)_{K_F^-}$ and $\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_F^{rt},\, \boldsymbol{\psi}_F^{rt}\right)_{K_F^+}$ are bounded above and below. Thus

$$a_{rt,F} = \frac{\beta_F^-}{\beta_F^- + \beta_F^+} \approx \frac{\alpha_F^+}{\alpha_F^- + \alpha_F^+} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - a_{rt,F} = \frac{\beta_F^+}{\beta_F^- + \beta_F^+} \approx \frac{\alpha_F^-}{\alpha_F^- + \alpha_F^+}. \tag{4.17}$$

(Here and thereafter, we will use $x \approx y$ to mean that there exist two positive constants C_1 and C_2 independent of the mesh size such that $C_1 x \le y \le C_2 x$.) (4.17) indicates that the weights in the nodal values of the recovered flux may be replaced by $\dfrac{\alpha_F^+}{\alpha_F^- + \alpha_F^+}$ and $\dfrac{\alpha_F^-}{\alpha_F^- + \alpha_F^+}$, respectively.

Next, we consider the *BDM* recovery. For edge $F \in \mathcal{E}$, let $\mathbf{s}_F$ and $\mathbf{e}_F$ be endpoints of F such that $\mathbf{e}_F - \mathbf{s}_F = h_F \mathbf{t}_F$. Let $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{s,F}^{bdm}$ and $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{e,F}^{bdm}$ be the two local *BDM* basis functions associated withe the vertices $\mathbf{s}_F$ and $\mathbf{e}_F$, respectively. For $i = \{s, e\}$, define the global *BDM* basis functions associated with the edge F by

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i,F}^{bdm} = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{i,F}^{bdm}|_{K_F^-}, & \mathbf{x} \in K_F^-, \\ -\boldsymbol{\phi}_{i,F}^{bdm}|_{K_F^+}, & \mathbf{x} \in K_F^+, \\ 0, & \mathbf{x} \notin \omega_F, \end{cases} \forall F \in \mathcal{E}_I \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\psi}_{i,F}^{bdm} = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{i,F}^{bdm}|_{K_F^-}, & \mathbf{x} \in K_F^-, \\ 0, & \mathbf{x} \notin \omega_F, \end{cases} \forall F \in \mathcal{E}_D \cup \mathcal{E}_N.$$

Denote by $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i,F}^{bdm,-}$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i,F}^{bdm,+}$ the restriction of $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i,F}^{bdm}$ on K_F^- and K_F^+, respectively.

Again, since $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{c,bdm,F} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,bdm,F}^{\Delta} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j,F}^{c} \in BDM_F^c \subset H_0(\mathrm{div}; \omega_F)$, we have

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{c,bdm,F} = a_{bdm,F} j_{f,F}^c \boldsymbol{\psi}_{s,F}^{bdm} + b_{bdm,F} j_{f,F}^c \boldsymbol{\psi}_{e,F}^{bdm} \quad \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_I.$$

For $i,\, j \in \{s,\, e\}$, let

$$\beta_{ij,F}^{\pm} = \left(A^{-1}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{i,F}^{bdm},\, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{j,F}^{bdm}\right)_{K_F^{\pm}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_{ij,F} = \beta_{ij,F}^- + \beta_{ij,F}^+.$$

Solving (4.11) yields

$$a_{bdm,F} = \frac{(\beta_{ss,F}^- + \beta_{se,F}^-)\beta_{ee,F} - (\beta_{se,F}^- + \beta_{ee,F}^-)\beta_{se,F}}{\beta_{ss,F}\beta_{ee,F} - \beta_{se,F}^2} \tag{4.18}$$

and

$$b_{bdm,_F} = \frac{(\beta^-_{ss,_F} + \beta^-_{se,_F})\beta_{ss,_F} - (\beta^-_{se,_F} + \beta^-_{ee,_F})\beta_{se,_F}}{\beta_{ss,_F}\beta_{ee,_F} - \beta^2_{se,_F}} \tag{4.19}$$

Since $\boldsymbol{\psi}^{rt,\pm}_{_F} = \boldsymbol{\psi}^{bdm,\pm}_{s,_F} + \boldsymbol{\psi}^{bdm,\pm}_{e,_F}$, we have

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{c,bdm,_F} = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{c,bdm,_F} + \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{c}_{j,_F} = \hat{a}_{bdm,_F} j^c_{f,_F} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{bdm}_{s,_F} + \hat{b}_{bdm,_F} j^c_{f,_F} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{bdm}_{e,_F} \quad \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I} \tag{4.20}$$

with

$$\hat{a}_{bdm,_F} = \begin{cases} 1 - a_{bdm,_F}, & \text{on} \quad K^-_{_F}, \\ a_{bdm,_F}, & \text{on} \quad K^+_{_F}, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{b}_{bdm,_F} = \begin{cases} 1 - b_{bdm,_F}, & \text{on} \quad K^-_{_F}, \\ b_{bdm,_F}, & \text{on} \quad K^+_{_F}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, by (4.6) and (4.10) the error flux is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{c,bdm} = \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}_{_I}} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{c,bdm,_F} - \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}_{_N}} j^c_{f,_F}(\boldsymbol{\psi}^{bdm,-}_{s,_F} + \boldsymbol{\psi}^{bdm,-}_{e,_F}). \tag{4.21}$$

with $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{c,bdm,_F}$ defined in (4.20). Now, by (4.7) and (4.14), the explicit formula for the recovered flux using the *BDM* element is then

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{bdm}_{c} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{c,bdm} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_c = \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}} \sigma^{bdm}_{c,s,_F} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{bdm}_{s,_F} + \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}} \sigma^{bdm}_{c,e,_F} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{bdm}_{e,_F}, \tag{4.22}$$

where

$$\sigma^{bdm}_{c,s,_F} = \begin{cases} a_{bdm,_F}\hat{\sigma}^-_{c,_F} + (1 - a_{bdm,_F})\hat{\sigma}^+_{c,_F}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}, \\ g_{_N}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{_N}, \\ \hat{\sigma}^-_{c,_F}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{_D}. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sigma^{bdm}_{c,e,_F} = \begin{cases} b_{bdm,_F}\hat{\sigma}^-_{c,_F} + (1 - b_{bdm,_F})\hat{\sigma}^+_{c,_F}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}, \\ g_{_N}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{_N}, \\ \hat{\sigma}^-_{c,_F}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{_D}. \end{cases}$$

Note that, for any interior edge $F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}$, the coefficients of the recovered flux are again weighted averages of the numerical fluxes.

For interface problems $A|_{_K} = \alpha_{_K} I$ with a regular triangulation, by a careful calculation, we can show that

$$a_{bdm,_F} \approx \frac{\alpha^+_{_F}}{\alpha^-_{_F} + \alpha^+_{_F}} \approx b_{bdm,_F} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - a_{bdm,_F} \approx \frac{\alpha^-_{_F}}{\alpha^-_{_F} + \alpha^+_{_F}} \approx 1 - b_{bdm,_F}. \tag{4.23}$$

4.2 Explicit Gradient Recovery for Mixed Method

This section introduces an explicit gradient recovery based on the mixed finite element approximation in (3.2). Since derivation is similar to that in the previous section, we briefly describe the recovery procedure and present an explicit formula of the recovered gradient.

Let $(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_m, u_m)$ be the solution of (3.2). Denote by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_m = -A^{-1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_m \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}_m = \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_m = -A\left(\nabla u - \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_m\right)$$

the numerical gradient and the flux error, respectively. Then the gradient error is given by

$$\nabla u - \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_m = -A^{-1}\mathbf{E}_m. \tag{4.24}$$

Denote the tangential components of the numerical gradient on edge $F \in \mathcal{E}$ by

$$\hat{\rho}^+_{m,F} = \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_m|_{K_F^+} \cdot \mathbf{t}_F\right)|_F \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\rho}^-_{m,F} = \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_m|_{K_F^-} \cdot \mathbf{t}_F\right)|_F \tag{4.25}$$

and the edge jump of the numerical gradient on edge $F \in \mathcal{E}_I \cup \mathcal{E}_D$ by

$$j^m_{g,F} \equiv [\![\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_m \cdot \mathbf{t}_F]\!]_F = \begin{cases} \hat{\rho}^-_{m,F} - \hat{\rho}^+_{m,F}, & \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_I, \\ \hat{\rho}^-_{m,F} - \nabla g_D \cdot \mathbf{t}_F, & \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_D. \end{cases}$$

By the continuity of the tangential components of the true gradient, the edge jump of the tangential component of the local error gradient is given by

$$[\![-\phi^{nc}_F A^{-1}\mathbf{E}_m \cdot \mathbf{t}_F]\!]_F = -j^m_{g,F}. \tag{4.26}$$

Since $\hat{\rho}^+_{m,F}$ and $\hat{\rho}^-_{m,F}$ are affine functions defined on $F \in \mathcal{E}$, the *ND* element is needed for their approximations. To this end, as in the *BDM* case, for edge $F \in \mathcal{E}$, let $\mathbf{s}_F$ and $\mathbf{e}_F$ be endpoints of F such that $\mathbf{e}_F - \mathbf{s}_F = h_F\mathbf{t}_F$, let $\boldsymbol{\phi}^{nd}_{i,F}$ $(i = s, e)$ be the local *ND* basis functions given in (A.4) in Appendix A, and define the global *ND* basis functions associated with edge F by

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{i,F} = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\phi}^{nd}_{i,F}|_{K_F^-}, & \mathbf{x} \in K_F^-, \\ -\boldsymbol{\phi}^{nd}_{i,F}|_{K_F^+}, & \mathbf{x} \in K_F^+, \\ 0, & \mathbf{x} \notin \omega_F, \end{cases} \quad \forall\, F \in \mathcal{E}_I \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{i,F} = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\phi}^{nd}_{i,F}|_{K_F^-}, & \mathbf{x} \in K_F^-, \\ 0, & \mathbf{x} \notin \omega_F, \end{cases} \quad \forall\, F \in \mathcal{E}_D \cup \mathcal{E}_N.$$

Denote by $\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd,-}_{i,F}$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd,+}_{i,F}$ the restriction of $\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{i,F}$ on K_F^- and K_F^+, respectively.

Denote the tangential components of the numerical gradient, $\hat{\rho}^+_{m,F}$ and $\hat{\rho}^-_{m,F}$, at the endpoints by

$$d^\pm_{s,F} = \hat{\rho}^\pm_{m,F}(\mathbf{s}_F) \quad \text{and} \quad d^\pm_{e,F} = \hat{\rho}^\pm_{m,F}(\mathbf{e}_F),$$

respectively. Then the numerical gradient has the following representation in local *ND* bases

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_m = d^-_{s,F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd,-}_{s,F} - d^-_{e,F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd,-}_{e,F}, \quad \text{on} \quad K_F^-, \quad \text{for} \quad F \in \mathcal{E}_D$$

and

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_m = \begin{cases} d^-_{s,F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd,-}_{s,F} - d^-_{e,F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd,-}_{e,F}, & \text{on} \quad K_F^-, \\ d^+_{s,F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd,+}_{s,F} - d^+_{e,F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd,+}_{e,F}, & \text{on} \quad K_F^+, \end{cases} \quad \text{for} \quad F \in \mathcal{E}_I.$$

Let

$$c_{s,_F} = \begin{cases} d^-_{s,_F} - d^+_{s,_F}, & \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}, \\ d^-_{s,_F}, & \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_{_D} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{e,_F} = \begin{cases} d^-_{e,_F} - d^+_{e,_F}, & \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}, \\ d^-_{e,_F}, & \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_{_D}. \end{cases}$$

A simple calculation leads to

$$j^m_{g,_F} = \begin{cases} c_{s,_F}(\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{s,_F} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{_F}) - c_{e,_F}(\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{e,_F} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{_F}), & \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}, \\ c_{s,_F}(\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{s,_F} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{_F}) - c_{e,_F}(\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{e,_F} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{_F}) - \nabla g_{_D} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{_F}, & \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_{_D}. \end{cases} \tag{4.27}$$

Let

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}^m_{j,_F} = -c_{s,_F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd,-}_{s,_F} + c_{e,_F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd,-}_{e,_F}, \quad \text{on} \quad K^-_{_F} \tag{4.28}$$

for $F \in \mathcal{E}_{_D}$, and let

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}^m_{j,_F} = \begin{cases} -c_{s,_F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd,-}_{s,_F} + c_{e,_F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd,-}_{e,_F}, & \text{on} \quad K^-_{_F}, \\ 0, & \text{on} \quad K^+_{_F} \end{cases} \tag{4.29}$$

for $F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}$. By the properties of the *ND* basis functions in (A.9) and (A.10), it is easy to check that

$$[\![\boldsymbol{\rho}^m_{j,_F} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{_F}]\!]_{_F} = -j^m_{g,_F} \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\rho}^m_{j,_F} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{_E} = 0 \quad \text{on } E \in \mathcal{E}_{b,_F}$$

for $F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I} \cup \mathcal{E}_{_D}$.

Let

$$H_0(\mathrm{curl}; \omega_{_F}) = \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in H(\mathrm{curl}; \omega_{_F}) \,|\, \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{t}|_{\partial\omega_{_F}} = 0\},$$

and let

$$ND^m_{_F} = \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in H_0(\mathrm{curl}; \omega_{_F}) \,|\, \boldsymbol{\tau}|_{_K} \in ND(K)\ \forall\ K \in \mathcal{T}_{_F}\}.$$

In a similar fashion as that of the previous section, by (4.26), we introduce the following approximation to the error gradient:

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}^{\Delta}_{m,nd} = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{E}_{_D}} \boldsymbol{\rho}^{\Delta}_{m,nd,_F} + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}} \boldsymbol{\rho}^{\Delta}_{m,nd,_F}, \tag{4.30}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}^{\Delta}_{m,nd,_F} = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\rho}^m_{j,_F}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{_D}, \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{m,_F} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^m_{j,_F}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}. \end{cases} \tag{4.31}$$

Here, $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{m,_F} \in ND^m_{_F}$ is the solution of the following minimization problem:

$$\|A^{1/2}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{m,_F} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^m_{j,_F}\right)\|_{0,\omega_{_F}} = \min_{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in ND^m_{_F}} \|A^{1/2}\left(\boldsymbol{\tau} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^m_{j,_F}\right)\|_{0,\omega_{_F}}. \tag{4.32}$$

Let

$$\gamma^-_{ij,_F} = (A\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{i,_F}, \boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{j,_F})_{K^-_{_F}}, \quad \gamma^+_{ij,_F} = (A\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{i,_F}, \boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{j,_F})_{K^+_{_F}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{ij,_F} = \gamma^-_{ij,_F} + \gamma^+_{ij,_F}$$

for i, $j \in \{s, e\}$. Solving (4.32) leads to

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{m,_F} = \rho_{s,_F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{s,_F} + \rho_{e,_F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{e,_F}$$

with coefficients given by

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{s,_F} &= \frac{\left(c_{s,_F}\gamma^-_{ss,_F} - c_{e,_F}\gamma^-_{se,_F}\right)\gamma_{ee,_F} - \left(c_{s,_F}\gamma^-_{se,_F} - c_{e,_F}\gamma^-_{ee,_F}\right)\gamma_{se,_F}}{\gamma_{ss,_F}\gamma_{ee,_F} - \gamma^2_{se,_F}} \\ &= \frac{c_{s,_F}\left(\gamma^-_{ss,_F}\gamma_{ee,_F} - \gamma^-_{se,_F}\gamma_{se,_F}\right) - c_{e,_F}\left(\gamma^-_{se,_F}\gamma_{ee,_F} - \gamma^-_{ee,_F}\gamma_{se,_F}\right)}{\gamma_{ss,_F}\gamma_{ee,_F} - \gamma^2_{se,_F}}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_{e,_F} &= \frac{\left(c_{s,_F}\gamma^-_{se,_F} - c_{e,_F}\gamma^-_{ee,_F}\right)\gamma_{ss,_F} - \left(c_{s,_F}\gamma^-_{ss,_F} - c_{e,_F}\gamma^-_{se,_F}\right)\gamma_{se,_F}}{\gamma_{ss,_F}\gamma_{ee,_F} - \gamma^2_{se,_F}} \\ &= \frac{c_{s,_F}\left(\gamma^-_{se,_F}\gamma_{ss,_F} - \gamma^-_{ss,_F}\gamma_{se,_F}\right) - c_{e,_F}\left(\gamma^-_{ee,_F}\gamma_{ss,_F} - \gamma^-_{se,_F}\gamma_{se,_F}\right)}{\gamma_{ss,_F}\gamma_{ee,_F} - \gamma^2_{se,_F}}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}^{\Delta}_{m,nd,_F} = \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{m,_F} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{m}_{j,_F} = \begin{cases} (\rho_{s,_F} - c_{s,_F})\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{s,_F} + (\rho_{e,_F} + c_{e,_F})\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{e,_F}, & \text{on} \quad K^-_{_F}, \\ \rho_{s,_F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{s,_F} + \rho_{e,_F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{e,_F}, & \text{on} \quad K^+_{_F} \end{cases} \tag{4.33}$$

for interior edge $F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}$. Now, the explicit formula for the recovered gradient using *ND* element is then

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}^{nd}_m = \boldsymbol{\rho}^{\Delta}_{m,nd} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_m = \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}} a^{nd}_{m,_F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{s,_F} + \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}} b^{nd}_{m,_F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{e,_F}, \tag{4.34}$$

where the coefficients are given by

$$a^{nd}_{m,_F} = \begin{cases} \rho_{s,_F} + d^+_{s,_F}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}, \\ d^-_{s,_F}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{_N}, \\ \nabla g_{_D}\cdot \mathbf{t}_{_F}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{_D} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad b^{nd}_{m,_F} = \begin{cases} \rho_{e,_F} - d^+_{e,_F}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}, \\ -d^-_{e,_F}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{_N}, \\ -\nabla g_{_D}\cdot \mathbf{t}_{_F}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{_D}. \end{cases}$$

Notice that

$$\rho_{s,_F} + d^+_{s,_F} = \ell_{s,_F} d^-_{s,_F} + (1-\ell_{s,_F})d^+_{s,_F} - \frac{c_{e,_F}\left(\gamma^-_{se,_F}\gamma^+_{ee,_F} - \gamma^-_{ee,_F}\gamma^+_{se,_F}\right)}{\gamma_{ss,_F}\gamma_{ee,_F} - \gamma^2_{se,_F}}$$

with

$$\ell_{s,_F} = \frac{\left(\gamma^-_{ss,_F}\gamma_{ee,_F} - \gamma^-_{se,_F}\gamma_{se,_F}\right)}{\gamma_{ss,_F}\gamma_{ee,_F} - \gamma^2_{se,_F}},$$

and

$$\rho_{e,_F} - d^+_{e,_F} = -\ell_{e,_F} d^-_{e,_F} - (1-\ell_{e,_F})d^+_{e,_F} + \frac{c_{s,_F}\left(\gamma^-_{se,_F}\gamma^-_{ss,_F} - \gamma^-_{ss,_F}\gamma^+_{se,_F}\right)}{\gamma_{ss,_F}\gamma_{ee,_F} - \gamma^2_{se,_F}}.$$

with

$$\ell_{e,F} = \frac{\left(\gamma^-_{ee,F}\gamma_{ss,F} - \gamma^-_{se,F}\gamma_{se,F}\right)}{\gamma_{ss,F}\gamma_{ee,F} - \gamma^2_{se,F}}.$$

Note that, for any interior edge $F \in \mathcal{E}_I$, the coefficients of the recovered gradient are weighted averages of the numerical gradients plus some high order terms.

For interface problems $A|_K = \alpha_K I$ with a regular triangulation, by a careful calculation, we can show that

$$\ell_{s,F} \approx \frac{\alpha^-_F}{\alpha^-_F + \alpha^+_F} \approx \ell_{e,F} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \ell_{s,F} \approx \frac{\alpha^+_F}{\alpha^-_F + \alpha^+_F} \approx 1 - \ell_{e,F}. \tag{4.35}$$

4.3 Explicit Flux and Gradient Recoveries for Nonconforming Method

Let u_{nc} be the solution of (3.3). Denote by

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{nc} = \nabla_h u_{nc} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{nc} = -A^{-1}\nabla_h u_{nc} = -A^{-1}\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{nc}$$

the numerical gradient and the numerical flux, respectively. This section introduces explicit formulas of the recovered flux $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{nc} \in H(\mathrm{div}, \Omega)$ and the recovered gradient $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc} \in H(\mathrm{curl}, \Omega)$ based on $\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{nc}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{nc}$. Again, derivations are similar to those in the previous sections and, hence, descriptions in this section are brief.

Denote the solution error, the flux error, and the gradient error by

$$e_{nc} = u - u_{nc}, \quad \mathbf{E}_{nc} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} - \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{nc} = -A\nabla_h e_{nc}, \text{ and } \nabla u - \nabla_h u_{nc} = \nabla_h e_{nc} = -A^{-1}\mathbf{E}_{nc},$$

respectively. Denote the normal components of the numerical flux on edge $F \in \mathcal{E}$ by

$$\hat{\sigma}^+_{nc,F} = \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{nc}|_{K^+_F} \cdot \mathbf{n}_F\right)|_F \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\sigma}^-_{nc,F} = \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{nc}|_{K^-_F} \cdot \mathbf{n}_F\right)|_F \tag{4.36}$$

and the edge jump of the numerical flux by

$$j^{nc}_{f,F} \equiv [\![\hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_{nc} \cdot \mathbf{n}_F]\!]_F = \begin{cases} \hat{\sigma}^-_{nc,F} - \hat{\sigma}^+_{nc,F}, & \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_I, \\ \hat{\sigma}^-_{nc,F} - g_N, & \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_N. \end{cases}$$

Denote the tangential components of the numerical gradient on edge $F \in \mathcal{E}$ by

$$\hat{\rho}^+_{nc,F} = \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{nc}|_{K^+_F} \cdot \mathbf{t}_F\right)|_F \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\rho}^-_{nc,F} = \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{nc}|_{K^-_F} \cdot \mathbf{t}_F\right)|_F \tag{4.37}$$

and the edge jump of the numerical gradient by

$$j^{nc}_{g,F} \equiv [\![\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{nc} \cdot \mathbf{t}_F]\!]_F = \begin{cases} \hat{\rho}^-_{nc,F} - \hat{\rho}^+_{nc,F}, & \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_I, \\ \hat{\rho}^-_{nc,F} - \nabla g_D \cdot \mathbf{t}_F, & \forall\ F \in \mathcal{E}_D. \end{cases}$$

By the continuity of the true flux and true gradient, we have

$$[\![\phi^{nc}_F \mathbf{E}_{nc} \cdot \mathbf{n}_F]\!]_F = -j^{nc}_{f,F} \quad \text{and} \quad [\![-\phi^{nc}_F A^{-1}\mathbf{E}_{nc} \cdot \mathbf{t}_F]\!]_F = -j^{nc}_{g,F}. \tag{4.38}$$

4.3.1 Explicit Formula for Flux Recovery

In a similar fashion as in Section 4.1, the approximation to the error flux using the *RT* element is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{nc,rt} = \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}_I} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{nc,rt,F} + \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}_N} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{nc,rt,F} = \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}_I} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{nc,rt,F} - \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}_N} j^{nc}_{f,F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{rt,-}_{F} \tag{4.39}$$

with

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{nc,rt,F} = \begin{cases} -(1-a_{rt,F})\, j^{nc}_{f,F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{rt,-}_{F}, & \text{on} \quad K^{-}_{F}, \\ a_{rt,F} j^{nc}_{f,F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{rt,+}_{F}, & \text{on} \quad K^{+}_{F}, \end{cases} \tag{4.40}$$

where $a_{rt,F}$ is defined in Section 4.1.2. Now, the explicit flux recovery using the *RT* element is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{rt}_{nc} = \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{nc,rt} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}_c = \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}} \sigma^{rt}_{nc,F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{rt}_{F} \in H(\mathrm{div},\Omega), \tag{4.41}$$

where the nodal value $\sigma^{rt}_{nc,F}$ is given by

$$\sigma^{rt}_{nc,F} = \begin{cases} a_{rt,F}\hat{\sigma}^{-}_{nc,F} + (1-a_{rt,F})\hat{\sigma}^{+}_{nc,F}, & F\in\mathcal{E}_I, \\ g_N, & F\in\mathcal{E}_N, \\ \hat{\sigma}^{-}_{nc,F}, & F\in\mathcal{E}_D. \end{cases} \tag{4.42}$$

Using the *BDM* element, the approximation to the error flux is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{nc,bdm} &= \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}_I} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{nc,bdm,F} + \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}_N} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{nc,bdm,F} \\ &= \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}_I} j^{nc}_{f,F}\left(a_{bdm,F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{bdm}_{s,F} + b_{bdm,F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{bdm}_{e,F}\right) - \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}_N} j^{nc}_{f,F}(\boldsymbol{\psi}^{bdm,-}_{s,F} + \boldsymbol{\psi}^{bdm,-}_{e,F}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

where $a_{bdm,F}$ and $b_{bdm,F}$ are defined in Section 4.1.2. Now, the explicit flux recovery using the *BDM* element is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{bdm}_{nc} = \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}} \sigma^{bdm}_{nc,s,F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{bdm}_{s,F} + \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}} \sigma^{bdm}_{nc,e,F}\boldsymbol{\psi}^{bdm}_{e,F} \in H(\mathrm{div},\Omega) \tag{4.44}$$

where where $\sigma^{bdm}_{nc,s,F}$ and $\sigma^{bdm}_{nc,e,F}$ is similar to $\sigma^{bdm}_{c,s,F}$ and $\sigma^{bdm}_{c,e,F}$ defined in Section 4.1.2, i.e.,

$$\sigma^{bdm}_{nc,s,F} = \begin{cases} a_{bdm,F}\hat{\sigma}^{-}_{nc,F} + (1-a_{bdm,F})\hat{\sigma}^{+}_{nc,F}, & F\in\mathcal{E}_I, \\ g_N, & F\in\mathcal{E}_N, \\ \hat{\sigma}^{-}_{nc,F}, & F\in\mathcal{E}_D. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sigma^{bdm}_{nc,e,F} = \begin{cases} b_{bdm,F}\hat{\sigma}^{-}_{nc,F} + (1-b_{bdm,F})\hat{\sigma}^{+}_{nc,F}, & F\in\mathcal{E}_I, \\ g_N, & F\in\mathcal{E}_N, \\ \hat{\sigma}^{-}_{nc,F}, & F\in\mathcal{E}_D. \end{cases}$$

4.3.2 Explicit Formula for Gradient Recovery

Let $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{F}^{ne}$ be the local *NE* basis function given in Appendix A, define the global *NE* basis function associated with the edge F by

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{F}^{ne}=\begin{cases}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{F}^{ne}|_{K_{F}^{-}}, & \mathbf{x}\in K_{F}^{-},\\ -\boldsymbol{\phi}_{F}^{ne}|_{K_{F}^{+}}, & \mathbf{x}\in K_{F}^{+},\\ 0, & \mathbf{x}\notin\omega_{F},\end{cases}\ \forall F\in\mathcal{E}_{I} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\psi}_{F}^{ne}=\begin{cases}\boldsymbol{\phi}_{F}^{ne}|_{K_{F}^{-}}, & \mathbf{x}\in K_{F}^{-},\\ 0, & \mathbf{x}\notin\omega_{F},\end{cases}\ \forall F\in\mathcal{E}_{D}\cup\mathcal{E}_{N}.$$

Denote by $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{F}^{ne,-}$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{F}^{ne,+}$ the restriction of $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{F}^{ne}$ on K_{F}^{-} and K_{F}^{+}, respectively. Let

$$a_{ne,F}=\frac{\beta_{ne,F}^{-}}{\beta_{ne,F}^{-}+\beta_{ne,F}^{+}}\quad\text{with}\quad\beta_{ne,F}^{\pm}=\left(A^{-1}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{F}^{ne},\,\boldsymbol{\psi}_{F}^{ne}\right)_{K_{F}^{\pm}}.$$

Then the approximation to the gradient error is

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc,ne}^{\Delta}=\sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}_{I}}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc,ne,F}^{\Delta}+\sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}_{D}}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc,ne,F}^{\Delta}=\sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}_{I}}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc,ne,F}^{\Delta}+\sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}_{D}}j_{g,F}^{nc}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{F}^{ne,-}\tag{4.45}$$

with

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc,ne,F}^{\Delta}=\begin{cases}-\left(1-a_{ne,F}\right)j_{g,F}^{nc}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{F}^{ne,-}, & \text{on}\quad K_{F}^{-},\\ a_{ne,F}j_{g,F}^{nc}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{F}^{ne,+}, & \text{on}\quad K_{F}^{+}.\end{cases}\tag{4.46}$$

Now, the explicit gradient recovery using the *NE* element is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc,F}^{ne}=\boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc,ne}^{\Delta}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{nc}=\sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}}\rho_{nc,F}^{ne}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{F}^{ne}\in H(\mathrm{curl},\Omega),\tag{4.47}$$

where the nodal value $\rho_{nc,F}^{ne}$ is given by

$$\rho_{nc,F}^{ne}=\begin{cases}a_{ne,F}\hat{\rho}_{nc,F}^{-}+\left(1-a_{ne,F}\right)\hat{\rho}_{nc,F}^{+}, & F\in\mathcal{E}_{I},\\ \nabla g_{D}\cdot\mathbf{t}_{F}, & F\in\mathcal{E}_{D},\\ \hat{\rho}_{nc,F}^{-}, & F\in\mathcal{E}_{N}.\end{cases}\tag{4.48}$$

Next, we describe the recovered gradient using the *ND* element. Let

$$a_{F}^{nc}=\frac{(\gamma_{ss,F}^{-}-\gamma_{se,F}^{-})\gamma_{ee,F}-(\gamma_{se,F}^{-}-\gamma_{ee,F}^{-})\gamma_{se,F}}{\gamma_{ss,F}\gamma_{ee,F}-\gamma_{se,F}^{2}}>0,\quad\text{and}$$

$$b_{F}^{nc}=\frac{(\gamma_{se,F}^{-}-\gamma_{ee,F}^{-})\gamma_{ss,F}-(\gamma_{ss,F}^{-}-\gamma_{se,F}^{-})\gamma_{se,F}}{\gamma_{ss,F}\gamma_{ee,F}-\gamma_{se,F}^{2}}<0$$

with $\gamma_{ij,F}^{\pm}$ and $\gamma_{ij,F}$, $(i,j\in\{s,e\})$ defined in Section 4.2. Similar to the gradient recovery using the *ND* element for the mixed method, the approximation to the error gradient is

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc,nd}^{\Delta}=\sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}_{I}}\left(\hat{a}_{F}^{nc}j_{g,F}^{nc}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{s,F}^{nd}+\hat{b}_{F}^{nc}j_{g,F}^{nc}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{e,F}^{nd}\right)-\sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}_{D}}j_{g,F}^{nc}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{s,F}^{nd,-}-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{e,F}^{nd,-}).\tag{4.49}$$

where the coefficients $\hat{a}_{F}^{nc}$ and $\hat{b}_{F}^{nc}$ are given by

$$\hat{a}_{F}^{nc} = \begin{cases} 1 - a_{F}^{nc}, & \text{on} \quad K_{F}^{-}, \\ -a_{F}^{nc}, & \text{on} \quad K_{F}^{+}, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{b}_{F}^{nc} = \begin{cases} 1 + b_{F}^{nc}, & \text{on} \quad K_{F}^{-}, \\ b_{F}^{nc}, & \text{on} \quad K_{F}^{+}, \end{cases}$$

Now, the recovered gradient using the *ND* element is given by

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc}^{nd} = \boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc,nd}^{\Delta} + \hat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_{nc}^{nd} = \sum_{F \in \mathcal{E}} \rho_{nc,s,F}^{nd} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{s,F}^{nd} + \sum_{F \in \mathcal{E}} \rho_{nc,s,F}^{nd} j_{g,F}^{nc} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{e,F}^{nd}, \tag{4.50}$$

where the coefficients of $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{s,F}^{nd}$ and $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{e,F}^{nd}$ are given by

$$\hat{\rho}_{nc,s,F}^{nd} = \begin{cases} a_{F}^{nc} \hat{\rho}_{nc,F}^{-} + \left(1 - a_{F}^{nc}\right) \hat{\rho}_{nc,F}^{+}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{I}, \\ \nabla g_{D} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{F}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{D}, \\ j_{g,F}^{nc}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{N}, \end{cases} \tag{4.51}$$

and

$$\hat{\rho}_{nc,e,F}^{nd} = \begin{cases} b_{F}^{nc} \hat{\rho}_{nc,F}^{-} + \left(1 + b_{F}^{nc}\right) \hat{\rho}_{nc,F}^{+}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{I}, \\ -\nabla g_{D} \cdot \mathbf{t}_{F}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{D}, \\ -j_{g,F}^{nc}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_{N}. \end{cases} \tag{4.52}$$

5 Explicit A Posteriori Error Estimators

With the explicit recoveries of the flux and gradient introduced in Section 4 for various finite element approximations, this section describes the corresponding recovery-based a posteriori error estimators.

For the conforming linear element, we study two estimators using the respective *RT* and *BDM* recoveries. The global *RT* a posteriori error estimator is given by

$$\eta_{c}^{rt} = \| A^{-1/2} \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c}^{rt} + A \nabla u_{c} \right) \|_{0,\Omega} = \| A^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,rt}^{\Delta} \|_{0,\Omega},$$

and the *RT* local error indicators on element $K \in \mathcal{T}$ and on edge $F \in \mathcal{E}$ are given by

$$\eta_{c,K}^{rt} = \| A^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,rt}^{\Delta} \|_{0,K} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{c,F}^{rt} = \| A^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,rt,F}^{\Delta} \|_{0,\omega_{F}},$$

respectively, where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,rt}^{\Delta}$ is given in (4.13) and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,rt,F}^{\Delta}$ in (4.10) and (4.12). The global *BDM* a posteriori error estimator is given by

$$\eta_{c}^{bdm} = \| A^{-1/2} \left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c}^{bdm} + A \nabla u_{c} \right) \|_{0,\Omega} = \| A^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,bdm}^{\Delta} \|_{0,\Omega},$$

and the *BDM* local error indicators on element $K \in \mathcal{T}$ and on edge $F \in \mathcal{E}$ are given by

$$\eta_{c,K}^{bdm} = \| A^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,bdm}^{\Delta} \|_{0,K} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{c,F}^{bdm} = \| A^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,bdm,F}^{\Delta} \|_{0,\omega_{F}},$$

respectively, where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,bdm}^{\Delta}$ is defined in (4.21) and $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,bdm,F}^{\Delta}$ in (4.10) and (4.20).

For the lowest-order mixed element, we study one estimator based on the explicit *ND* recovery. The local error indicators on element $K \in \mathcal{T}$ and on edge $F \in \mathcal{E}$ are defined by

$$\eta_{m,K}^{nd} = \|A^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{m,nd}^{\Delta}\|_{0,K} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{m,F}^{nd} = \|A^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{m,nd,F}^{\Delta}\|_{0,\omega_F},$$

respectively, where $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{m,nd}^{\Delta}$ is defined in (4.30) and $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{m,nd,F}^{\Delta}$ in (4.31) and (4.33). The global error estimator is then defined by

$$\eta_m^{nd} = \|A^{-1/2}\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_m + A\boldsymbol{\rho}_m^{nd}\right)\|_{0,\Omega} = \|A^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{m,nd}^{\Delta}\|_{0,\Omega}.$$

For the nonconforming linear element, again we introduce two estimators based on the *RT-NE* and *BDM-ND* recoveries. Let $c_1,\ c_2 \in (0,1)$ be parameters to be determined such that $c_1 + c_2 = 1$ (e.g, $c_1 = c_2 = 1/2$). The global *RT-NE* error estimator is defined by

$$\eta_{nc}^{rh} = \left(c_1\|A^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{nc,rt}^{\Delta}\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + c_2\|A^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc,ne}^{\Delta}\|_{0,\Omega}^2\right)^{1/2},$$

and the *RT-WH* local error indicators on element $K \in \mathcal{T}$ and on edge $F \in \mathcal{E}$ are defined respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{nc,K}^{rh} &= \left(c_1\|A^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{nc,rt}^{\Delta}\|_{0,K}^2 + c_2\|A^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc,ne}^{\Delta}\|_{0,K}^2\right)^{1/2} \\ \text{and} \quad \eta_{nc,F}^{rh} &= \left(c_1\|A^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{nc,rt,F}^{\Delta}\|_{0,\omega_F}^2 + c_2\|A^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc,ne,F}^{\Delta}\|_{0,\omega_F}^2\right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{nc,rt}^{\Delta}$, $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{nc,rt,F}^{\Delta}$, $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc,ne}^{\Delta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc,ne,F}^{\Delta}$ are defined in (4.39), (4.40), (4.45), and (4.46), respectively.

Similarly, The global *BDM-ND* error estimator is defined by

$$\eta_{nc}^{rh} = \left(c_1\|A^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{nc,bdm}^{\Delta}\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + c_2\|A^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc,nd}^{\Delta}\|_{0,\Omega}^2\right)^{1/2},$$

and the local *BDM-ND* error indicators on element $K \in \mathcal{T}$ and on edge $F \in \mathcal{E}$ are defined respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{nc,K}^{rh} &= \left(c_1\|A^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{nc,bdm}^{\Delta}\|_{0,K}^2 + c_2\|A^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc,nd}^{\Delta}\|_{0,K}^2\right)^{1/2} \\ \text{and} \quad \eta_{nc,F}^{rh} &= \left(c_1\|A^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{nc,bdm,F}^{\Delta}\|_{0,\omega_F}^2 + c_2\|A^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc,nd,F}^{\Delta}\|_{0,\omega_F}^2\right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{nc,bdm}^{\Delta}$ and $\boldsymbol{\rho}_{nc,nd}^{\Delta}$ are defined in (4.43) and (4.49), respectively.

6 Efficiency and Reliability

This section establishes efficiency and reliability bounds of the estimators defined in Section 5 for interface problems (i.e, $A = \alpha\, I$ and $\alpha(x)$ is a piecewise constant with respect to the triangulation $\mathcal{T}$.). In order to show that the reliability constant are independent of the jump of α, as usual, we assume that the distribution of the coefficients α_K for all

$K \in \mathcal{T}$ is locally quasi-monotone [23], which is slightly weaker than Hypothesis 2.7 in [5]. For convenience of readers, we restate it here.

Let ω_z be the union of all elements having z as a vertex. For any $z \in \mathcal{N}$, let

$$\hat{\omega}_z = \{K \in \omega_z \,:\, \alpha_{_K} = \max_{K' \in \omega_z} \alpha_{_{K'}}\}.$$

Definition 6.1. *Given a vertex $z \in \mathcal{N}$, the distribution of the coefficients $\alpha_{_K}$, $K \in \omega_z$, is said to be* quasi-monotone *with respect to the vertex z if there exists a subset $\tilde{\omega}_{_{K,z,qm}}$ of ω_z such that the union of elements in $\tilde{\omega}_{_{K,z,qm}}$ is a Lipschitz domain and that*

- *if $z \in \mathcal{N} \backslash \mathcal{N}_{_D}$, then $\{K\} \cup \hat{\omega}_z \subset \tilde{\omega}_{_{K,z,qm}}$ and $\alpha_{_K} \leq \alpha_{_{K'}}\ \forall_{K'} \in \tilde{\omega}_{_{K,z,qm}}$;*
- *if $z \in \mathcal{N}_{_D}$, then $K \in \tilde{\omega}_{_{K,z,qm}}$, $\partial\tilde{\omega}_{_{K,z,qm}} \cap \Gamma_{_D} \neq \emptyset$, and $\alpha_{_K} \leq \alpha_{_{K'}}\ \forall_{K'} \in \tilde{\omega}_{_{K,z,qm}}$.*

The distribution of the coefficients $\alpha_{_K}$, $K \in \mathcal{T}$, is said to be locally quasi-monotone *if it is quasi-monotone with respect to every vertex $z \in \mathcal{N}$.*

Let $f_{_\mathcal{T}}$ be the L^2 projection of f onto the space of piecewise constant defined on elements of $\mathcal{T}$, let

$$H_f \;\; = \;\; \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} H_{f,K}^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{with} \quad H_{f,K} = \frac{h_K}{\sqrt{\alpha_K}} \, \| f - f_{_\mathcal{T}} \|_{0,K} \quad \forall\, K \in \mathcal{T},$$

and let

$$\hat{H}_f = \left(\sum_{z \in \mathcal{N} \cap (\mathcal{S} \cup \Gamma_{_D})} \sum_{K \subset \omega_z} \frac{h_K^2}{\alpha_K} \, \| f \|_{0,K}^2 + \sum_{z \in \mathcal{N} \backslash (\mathcal{S} \cup \Gamma_{_D})} \sum_{K \subset \omega_z} \frac{h_K^2}{\alpha_K} \, \| f - \fint_{\omega_z} f \, dx \|_{0,K}^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where $\fint_{\omega_z} f \, dx = \int_{\hat{\omega}_z} f \psi_z \, dx \big/ \int_{\hat{\omega}_z} \psi_z \, dx$ is a weighted average of f over $\hat{\omega}_z$ and ψ_z is a linear nodal basis function at $z \in \mathcal{N}$.

Remark 6.2. *For various lower order finite element approximations, the second term in $\hat{H}_f$ is of higher order than $\eta_{_\mathcal{E}}$ (defined below in (6.2)) for $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and so is the first term for $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ with $p > 2$ (see [14]).*

6.1 Conforming Elements

Theorem 6.3. *Assume that the distribution of the coefficients are quasi-monotone. Then the error estimators η_c^{rt} and η_c^{bdm} satisfy the global reliability bound:*

$$\| \alpha^{1/2} \nabla e_c \|_{0,\Omega} \leq C(\eta_c^{rt} + \hat{H}_f) \quad \textit{and} \quad \| \alpha^{1/2} \nabla e_c \|_{0,\Omega} \leq C(\eta_c^{bdm} + \hat{H}_f), \tag{6.1}$$

where the constants above are independent of α and the mesh size.

Proof. Inequalities (6.1) may be established in a similar fashion as those in [9, 12]. □

To prove the efficiency bound, consider the edge error estimator and indicator of the residual type:

$$\eta_{c,\mathcal{E}} := \left(\sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}_I\cup\mathcal{E}_N} \eta_{c,F}^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{with} \quad \eta_{c,F} = \begin{cases} h_F j_{f,F}^c / \sqrt{\alpha_F^+ + \alpha_F^-}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_I, \\ h_F j_{f,F}^c / \sqrt{\alpha_F^-}, & F \in \mathcal{E}_N. \end{cases} \tag{6.2}$$

Without assumptions on the distribution of the coefficient α, it was proved by Petzoldt (see equation (5.7) in [23]) that there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of α and the mesh size such that

$$\eta_{c,F}^2 \le C \left(\|\alpha^{-1/2}\nabla e_c\|_{\omega_F}^2 + \sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_F} H_{f,K}^2 \right). \tag{6.3}$$

Let $\mathcal{T}_K = \{T \in \mathcal{T} : T \text{ and } K \text{ share at least one edge}\}$.

Theorem 6.4. *The local indicators $\eta_{c,F}^{rt}$, $\eta_{c,K}^{rt}$, $\eta_{c,F}^{bdm}$, and $\eta_{c,K}^{bdm}$ defined in* Section 5 *are efficient, i.e., there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of α and the mesh size such that*

$$\eta_{c,F}^{bdm} \le \eta_{c,F}^{rt} \le C\|\alpha^{1/2}\nabla e_c\|_{0,\omega_F} + C \left(\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_F} H_{f,K}^2 \right)^{1/2} \tag{6.4}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \eta_{c,K}^{bdm},\ \eta_{c,K}^{rt} \le C\|\alpha^{1/2}\nabla e_c\|_{0,\omega_K} + C \left(\sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_K} H_{f,T}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we establish the efficiency bounds only for interior edges. The first inequality of (6.4) is a direct consequence of the minimization problem in (4.5) and the fact that $RT_{-1,F}^c \subset BDM_{-1,F}^c$. To prove the second inequality of (6.4), we assume that the triangulation is regular. By the equivalence in (4.17) and the fact that $\|\boldsymbol{\psi}_F^{rt}\|_{0,\omega_F} \le C\, h_F^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\eta_{c,F}^{rt}\right)^2 &= \|\alpha_{F^-}^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,rt,F}^{\Delta}\|_{0,K_F^-}^2 + \|\alpha_{F^+}^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c,rt,F}^{\Delta}\|_{0,K_F^+}^2 \\ &\le C \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_F^-} \left(\frac{\alpha_F^-}{\alpha_F^- + \alpha_F^+} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha_F^+} \left(\frac{\alpha_F^+}{\alpha_F^- + \alpha_F^+} \right)^2 \right) \left(j_{f,F}^c h_F \right)^2 = C\, \eta_{c,F}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which, combining with (6.3), implies the second inequality of (6.4). It is easy to see that

$$\left(\eta_{c,K}^{rt}\right)^2 \le \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}_K} \left(\eta_{c,F}^{rt}\right)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\eta_{c,K}^{bdm}\right)^2 \le \sum_{F\in\mathcal{E}_K} \left(\eta_{c,F}^{bdm}\right)^2.$$

Now, (6.5) follows from (6.4). This completes the proof of the theorem. □

6.2 Mixed Elements

Theorem 6.5. *Assume that the distribution of the coefficient α is quasi-monotone. Then the error estimator η_m^{nd} satisfies the following global reliability bound:*

$$\|\alpha^{-1/2}\mathbf{E}_m\|_{0,\Omega} \le C(\eta_m^{nd} + H_f + G_{\nabla_h\times(\alpha^{-1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_m)}), \tag{6.6}$$

where $G_{\nabla_h\times(\alpha^{-1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_m)}$ is a higher order term if $\nabla_h\times(\alpha^{-1}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_m) \in L^p(\Omega)$ with $p > 2$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{V} = RT$, then

$$\|\alpha^{-1/2}\mathbf{E}_m\|_{0,\Omega} \le C(\eta_m^{nd} + H_f). \tag{6.7}$$

Proof. Let $\hat{\eta}_m$ be the implicit recovery-based estimator introduced in [10], i.e.,

$$\hat{\eta}_m = \min_{\boldsymbol{\tau}\in ND} \|\alpha^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\tau} + \alpha^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_m\|_{0,\Omega}.$$

It is obvious that $\hat{\eta}_m \le \eta_m^{nd}$. Now, the theorem is a direct consequence of Theorem 6.2 of [10]. □

The efficiency of the η_m^{nd} may be established by a direct calculation similar to the proof of Theorem 6.4. However, the calculation is quite complicated in this case. We will prove it through the following Helmholtz decomposition (see, e.g., [17]) of the error flux $\mathbf{E}_m$: there exist $\xi_m \in H^1_D(\Omega)$ and $\zeta_m \in H^1_N(\Omega) \equiv \{v \in H^1(\Omega) \big| \, v = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma_N\}$ such that

$$\mathbf{E}_m = \alpha\nabla\xi_m + \nabla^\perp\zeta_m \tag{6.8}$$

$$\text{and} \qquad \|\alpha^{-1/2}\mathbf{E}_m\|^2_{0,\Omega} = \|\alpha^{1/2}\nabla\xi_m\|^2_{0,\Omega} + \|\alpha^{-1/2}\nabla^\perp\zeta_m\|^2_{0,\Omega}.$$

Theorem 6.6. *The local indicators $\eta_{m,F}^{nd}$ and $\eta_{m,K}^{nd}$ and the global error estimator η_m^{nd} are efficient, i.e., there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of α and the mesh size such that*

$$\eta_{m,F}^{nd} \le C\|\alpha^{-1/2}\nabla^\perp\zeta_m\|_{0,\omega_F}, \quad \eta_{m,K}^{nd} \le C\|\alpha^{-1/2}\nabla^\perp\zeta_m\|_{0,\omega_K}, \tag{6.9}$$

$$\textit{and} \quad \eta_m^{nd} \le C\|\alpha^{-1/2}\nabla^\perp\zeta_m\|_{0,\Omega} \le C\|\alpha^{1/2}\mathbf{E}_m\|_{0,\Omega}. \tag{6.10}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we establish the efficiency bounds only for interior edges. Let $\eta_{m,F}$ and η_m be the respective edge indicator and estimator defined in [10], where

$$\eta^2_{m,F} = \frac{\alpha_F^- + \alpha_F^+}{2} h_F \int_F |j^m_{g,F}|^2 \, ds. \tag{6.11}$$

It is proved in Proposition 6.6 of [10] that

$$\eta_{m,F} \le \|\alpha^{-1/2}\nabla^\perp\zeta_m\|_{0,\omega_F} \text{ and } \eta_m \le C\|\alpha^{-1/2}\nabla^\perp\zeta_m\|_{0,\Omega} \le C\|\alpha^{1/2}\mathbf{E}_m\|_{0,\Omega}. \tag{6.12}$$

Since $\|\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd}_{i,F}\|_K \approx C\, h_F$ for $i = s, e$, it follows from (4.32) with $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \mathbf{0}$, (4.29), and the triangle inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta^{nd}_{m,F} &= \|\alpha^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{\Delta}_{m,nd,F}\|_{0,\omega_F} \le \|\alpha^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{m}_{j,F}\|_{0,\omega_F} \\
&= \sqrt{\alpha_F^-}\left(|c_{s,F}|\,\|\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd,-}_{s,F}\|_{0,K_F^-} + |c_{e,F}|\,\|\boldsymbol{\psi}^{nd,-}_{e,F}\|_{0,K_F^-}\right) \\
&\le C\, h_F\sqrt{\alpha_F^-}\,(|c_{s,F}| + |c_{e,F}|)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$c_{s,F} = j^m_{g,F}(\mathbf{s}_F) \quad \text{and} \quad c_{e,F} = j^m_{g,F}(\mathbf{e}_F)$$

and that $j^m_{g,F}$ is an affine function on F, it is then easy to check that there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of α and h_F such that

$$|c_{s,F}| + |c_{e,F}| \le C\, h_F^{-1/2} \left(\int_F |j^m_{g,F}|^2\, ds \right)^{1/2}.$$

By using the above two inequalities, we have

$$\eta^{nd}_{m,F} \le C\, h_F^{1/2} \sqrt{\alpha_F^-} \left(\int_F |j^m_{g,F}|^2\, ds \right)^{1/2} \le C\, \eta_{m,F},$$

which, together with (6.12), implies the validity of the first inequality in (6.9). Now, the second inequality in (6.9) and (6.10) are straightforward from the definitions and (6.12). □

6.3 Nonconforming Elements

Theorem 6.7. *Assume that the distribution of the coefficient α is quasi-monotone. Then the error estimators η^{rh}_{nc} and η^{bd}_{nc} satisfy the global reliability bounds:*

$$\|\alpha^{1/2} \nabla_h e_{nc}\|_{0,\Omega} \le C \left(\eta^{bd}_{nc} + H_f \right) \tag{6.13}$$

and

$$\|\alpha^{1/2} \nabla_h e_{nc}\|_{0,\Omega} \le C \left(\eta^{rh}_{nc} + H_f \right). \tag{6.14}$$

Proof. Let $\hat{\eta}_{nc}$ be the implicit recovery-based estimator introduced in [10]:

$$\hat{\eta}^2_{nc} = c\, \hat{\eta}^2_{nc,1} + (1-c) \hat{\eta}^2_{nc,2}$$

with $c \in (0,1)$ being a parameter to be determined, where

$$\hat{\eta}_{nc,1} = \min_{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in BDM} \|\alpha^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\tau} + \alpha^{1/2} \nabla_h u_{nc}\|_{0,\Omega} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\eta}_{nc,2} = \min_{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in ND} \|\alpha^{1/2} (\boldsymbol{\tau} - \nabla_h u_{nc})\|_{0,\Omega}.$$

It is obvious that $\hat{\eta}_{nc,1} \le \eta^{bdm}_{nc} \le \eta^{rt}_{nc}$ and that $\hat{\eta}_{nc,2} \le \eta^{nd}_{nc} \le \eta^{ne}_{nc}$. Now, (6.13) and (6.14) follow from Theorem 6.4 of [10]. □

To prove the efficiency of the explicit error estimators, consider the weighted edge error estimator introduced in [10]:

$$\eta_{nc,\mathcal{E}} := \left(\sum_{F \in \mathcal{E}} \eta^2_{nc,F} \right)^{1/2} \text{ with } \quad \eta^2_{nc,F} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{2h_F^2}{\alpha_K^+ + \alpha_K^-} \left(j^{nc}_{f,F} \right)^2 + \dfrac{h_F^2 \alpha_K^+ \alpha_K^-}{\alpha_K^+ + \alpha_K^-} \left(j^{nc}_{g,F} \right)^2, & F \in \mathcal{E}_I, \\ \dfrac{h_F^2}{\alpha_K^-} (j^{nc}_{f,F})^2, & F \in \mathcal{E}_N, \\ h_F^2 \alpha_K^- \left(j^{nc}_{g,F} \right)^2, & F \in \mathcal{E}_D. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 6.8. *There exist a positive constant C independent of α and the mesh size such that*

$$\eta^{rt}_{nc,_F} \le C\eta_{nc,_F} \quad \textit{and} \quad \eta^{ne}_{nc,_F} \le C\eta_{nc,_F} \tag{6.15}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we prove the validity of the lemma only for interior edges. Assume that the triangulation is regular, then $\|\boldsymbol{\phi}^{rt}_{_F}\|_{0,K} \le C\,h_{_F}$. It follows from the definition of $\eta^{rt}_{nc,_F}$, (4.39), and the equivalence (4.17) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta^{rt}_{nc,1,_F} &= \|\alpha^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{nc,rt,_F}\|_{0,\omega_{_F}} = \left(\|\alpha^{-1/2}_{_{F^-}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{nc,rt,_F}\|^2_{0,K^-_{_F}} + \|\alpha^{-1/2}_{_{F^+}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{nc,rt,_F}\|^2_{0,K^+_{_F}}\right)^{1/2} \\
&\le C\,h_{_F} j^{nc}_{f,_F}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha^-_{_F}}\left(\frac{\alpha^-_{_F}}{\alpha^-_{_F}+\alpha^+_{_F}}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{\alpha^+_{_F}}\left(\frac{\alpha^+_{_F}}{\alpha^-_{_F}+\alpha^+_{_F}}\right)^2\right)^{1/2} \\
&= C\,\frac{h_{_F} j^{nc}_{f,_F}}{\sqrt{\alpha^-_{_F}+\alpha^+_{_F}}} \le C\eta_{nc,_F},
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the first inequality in (6.15).

To prove the second inequality in (6.15), for any $F \in \mathcal{E}_{_I}$, introduce

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}^{nc}_{j,_F} = \begin{cases} j^{nc}_{g,_F} h_{_F} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{ne,-}_{_F}, & \text{on } K^-_{_F}, \\ 0, & \text{on } K^+_{_F}. \end{cases}$$

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\alpha^-_{_F} \le \alpha^+_{_F}$. (Otherwise, $\boldsymbol{\rho}^{nc}_{j,_F}$ may be redefined by exchanging $K^-_{_F}$ and $K^+_{_F}$.) Since $j^{nc}_{g,_F}$ is a constant on F and $\|\boldsymbol{\psi}^{ne}_{_F}\|_K \approx C\,h_{_F}$, by the definitions of $\boldsymbol{\rho}^{\Delta}_{nc,ne,_F}$ in (4.46), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta^{ne}_{nc,_F} &= \|\alpha^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{\Delta}_{nc,ne,_F}\|_{0,\omega_{_F}} \le \|\alpha^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{nc}_{j,_F}\|_{0,\omega_{_F}} = \sqrt{\alpha^-_{_F}}\,\|\boldsymbol{\rho}^{nc}_{j,_F}\|_{0,K^-_{_F}} \\
&= \sqrt{\alpha^-_{_F}}\, j^{nc}_{g,_F}\|\boldsymbol{\psi}^{ne}_{_F}\|_{0,K^-_{_F}} \le C\sqrt{\alpha^-_{_F}}\, j^{nc}_{g,_F} h_{_F} \\
&\le C\left(\frac{\alpha^+_K\alpha^-_K}{\alpha^+_K+\alpha^-_K}\right)^{1/2} j^{nc}_{g,_F} h_{_F} \le C\eta_{nc,_F}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the second inequality in (6.15) and, hence, the lemma. □

Theorem 6.9. *The local indicators $\eta^{rh}_{nc,_F}$, $\eta^{rh}_{nc,_K}$, $\eta^{bd}_{nc,_F}$, and $\eta^{bh}_{nc,_K}$ are efficient, i.e., there exists a constant $C > 0$ independent of α and the mesh size such that*

$$\eta^{bd}_{nc,_F} \le \eta^{rh}_{nc,_F} \le C\,\|\alpha^{1/2}\nabla_h e_{nc}\|_{0,\omega_{_F}} + C\left(\sum_{K\in\mathcal{T}_{_F}} H^2_{f,K}\right)^{1/2} \tag{6.16}$$

and that

$$\eta^{rh}_{nc,_K},\ \eta^{bd}_{nc,_K} \le C\,\|\alpha^{1/2}\nabla_h e_{nc}\|_{0,\omega_{_K}} + C\left(\sum_{T\in\mathcal{T}_{_K}} H^2_{f,T}\right)^{1/2}. \tag{6.17}$$

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{V}^{nc}_{-1,F} = \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in L^2(\omega_F) \big| \, \boldsymbol{\tau}|_K \in \mathcal{V}(K) \; \forall \, K \in \mathcal{T}_F, \, [\![\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{n}_F]\!]_F = -j^{nc}_{f,F}, \; \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{n}_E = 0 \text{ on } E \in \mathcal{E}_{b,F}\}$$

with $\mathcal{V} = RT$ or BDM and let

$$\mathcal{W}^{nc}_{-1,F} = \{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in L^2(\omega_F) \big| \, \boldsymbol{\tau}|_K \in \mathcal{W}(K) \; \forall \, K \in \mathcal{T}_F, \, [\![\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{t}_F]\!]_F = -j^{nc}_{g,F}, \; \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \mathbf{t}_E = 0 \text{ on } E \in \mathcal{E}_{b,F}\}.$$

with $\mathcal{W} = NE$ or ND. Similar to Section 4.1, the approximation error fluxes $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{nc,v,F}$ with $v = rt$ or bdm and the approximation error gradients $\boldsymbol{\rho}^{\Delta}_{nc,w,F}$ with $w = ne$ or nd are then the solutions of the minimization problems:

$$\|A^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{\Delta}_{nc,v,F}\|_{0,\omega_F} = \min_{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{V}^{nc}_{-1,F}} \|A^{-1/2}\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{0,\omega_F} \tag{6.18}$$

$$\text{and} \qquad \|A^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\rho}^{\Delta}_{nc,w,F}\|_{0,\omega_F} = \min_{\boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathcal{W}^{nc}_{-1,F}} \|A^{1/2}\boldsymbol{\tau}\|_{0,\omega_F}, \tag{6.19}$$

respectively. Since $RT^{nc}_{-1,F} \subset BDM^{nc}_{-1,F}$ and $NE^{nc}_{-1,F} \subset ND^{nc}_{-1,F}$, the first inequality in (6.16) follows from their definitions. The second inequality in (6.16) is from the minimization problems in (6.18) and (6.19), Lemma 6.8, and Theorem 6.8 of [10]. The bounds in (6.17) are straightforward from their definitions and inequality (6.16). □

7 Numerical Experiments

In this section, we report some numerical results for an interface problem with intersecting interfaces used by many authors, e.g., [18, 9, 10, 11], which is considered as a benchmark test problem. For simplicity, we only test the conforming element with explicit RT recovery. Other cases behave similarly.

Let $\Omega = (-1, 1)^2$ and

$$u(r, \theta) = r^{\gamma}\mu(\theta)$$

in the polar coordinates at the origin with $\mu(\theta)$ being a smooth function of θ [9]. The function $u(r, \theta)$ satisfies the interface equation with $A = \alpha I$, $\Gamma_N = \emptyset$, $f = 0$, and

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} R & \text{in } (0, 1)^2 \cup (-1, 0)^2, \\ 1 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus ([0, 1]^2 \cup [-1, 0]^2). \end{cases}$$

The γ depends on the size of the jump. In our test problem, $\gamma = 0.1$ is chosen and is corresponding to $R \approx 161.4476387975881$. Note that the solution $u(r, \theta)$ is only in $H^{1+\gamma-\epsilon}(\Omega)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$ and, hence, it is very singular for small γ at the origin. This suggests that refinement is centered around the origin.

Mesh generated by η^{rt}_c is shown in Figure 1. The refinement is centered at origin. Similar meshes for this test problem generated by other error estimators can be found in [9, 10, 13]. The comparison of the error and the η^{rt}_c is shown in Figure 2. The effectivity index is close to 1. Moreover, the slope of the log(dof)- log(relative error) for η^{rt}_c is $-1/2$, which indicates the optimal decay of the error with respect to the number of unknowns.



Figure 1: mesh generated by η



Figure 2: error and estimator η

A Basis Functions of the Lowest Order *RT*, *BDM*, *NE*, and *ND* Spaces

This appendix describes basis functions for the *RT*, *BDM*, *NE*, and *ND* finite element spaces of the lowest order. The definition of these basis functions can also be found in Section 2.6 of [7].

For a triangle K, denote by $\mathbf{x}_i$, $\mathbf{x}_j$, and $\mathbf{x}_k$ its three vertices sorted counterclockwise and denote by F_i, F_j, and F_k the edges opposite to the vertices $\mathbf{x}_i$, $\mathbf{x}_j$, and $\mathbf{x}_k$, respectively. The lengths, the unit tangent vectors, and the heights of the edges are denoted by

$$h_l = |\mathbf{e}_l|, \quad \mathbf{t}_l = \frac{\mathbf{e}_l}{h_l}, \quad \text{and} \quad H_l$$

for $l = i, j, k$, respectively. Let λ_i, λ_j, and λ_k denote the barycentric coordinates of the triangle K associated with vertices $\mathbf{x}_i$, $\mathbf{x}_j$, and $\mathbf{x}_k$, respectively. Then the unit outward vectors normal to the edges are

$$\mathbf{n}_l = -\frac{\nabla\lambda_l}{|\nabla\lambda_l|} \quad \text{for} \quad l = i, j, k.$$

Finally, denote by $|K|$ the area of the triangle K. Now, we state basis functions associated with the edge F_k as follows:

- for RT

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}^{rt}_{F_k}|_K := \frac{1}{H_k}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_k), \tag{A.1}$$

- for BDM (two basis functions associated with vertices $\mathbf{x}_i$ and $\mathbf{x}_j$)

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}^{bdm}_{i,F_k}|_K := \frac{1}{H_k}(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_k)\lambda_i, \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\phi}^{bdm}_{j,F_k}|_K := \frac{1}{H_k}(\mathbf{x}_j - \mathbf{x}_k)\lambda_j, \tag{A.2}$$

- for *NE*

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}^{ne}_{F_k}|_K := h_k(\lambda_j\nabla\lambda_i - \lambda_i\nabla\lambda_j), \tag{A.3}$$

- for *ND* (two basis functions associated with vertices $\mathbf{x}_i$ and $\mathbf{x}_j$)

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}^{nd}_{i,F_k}|_K := h_k\lambda_i\nabla\lambda_j, \quad \text{and} \quad \boldsymbol{\phi}^{nd}_{j,F_k}|_K := h_k\lambda_j\nabla\lambda_i. \tag{A.4}$$

It is easy to check that these basis functions satisfy the following properties:

- for *RT*

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}^{rt}_{F_k} = \boldsymbol{\phi}^{bdm}_{i,F_k} + \boldsymbol{\phi}^{bdm}_{j,F_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\boldsymbol{\phi}^{rt}_{F_k}\cdot\mathbf{n}_\ell\right)|_{F_\ell} = \delta_{\ell k}, \quad \ell = i,j,k; \tag{A.5}$$

- for BDM,

$$\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}^{bdm}_{i,F_k}\cdot\mathbf{n}_\ell\right)|_{F_\ell} = \lambda_i\delta_{\ell k} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\boldsymbol{\phi}^{bdm}_{j,F_k}\cdot\mathbf{n}_k\right)|_{F_k} = \lambda_j\delta_{\ell k}, \quad \ell = i,j,k; \tag{A.6}$$

  Then it is clear that a linear function on F_k can be represented by $\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}^{bdm}_{i,F_k}\cdot\mathbf{n}_k\right)|_{F_k}$ and $\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}^{bdm}_{j,F_k}\cdot\mathbf{n}_k\right)|_{F_k}$. Let p be an affine function on F_k, then

$$p = p(\mathbf{x}_i)\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}^{bdm}_{i,F_k}\cdot\mathbf{n}_k\right)|_{F_k} + p(\mathbf{x}_j)\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}^{bdm}_{j,F_k}\cdot\mathbf{n}_k\right)|_{F_k}; \tag{A.7}$$

- for *NE*

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}^{ne}_{F_k} = \boldsymbol{\phi}^{nd}_{i,F_k} - \boldsymbol{\phi}^{nd}_{j,F_k} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\boldsymbol{\phi}^{ne}_{F_k}\cdot\mathbf{t}_\ell\right)|_{F_\ell} = \delta_{\ell k}, \quad \ell = i,j,k; \tag{A.8}$$

- for *ND*,

$$\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}^{nd}_{i,F_k}\cdot\mathbf{t}_\ell\right)|_{F_\ell} = \lambda_i\delta_{\ell k}, \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\boldsymbol{\phi}^{nd}_{j,F_k}\cdot\mathbf{t}_\ell\right)|_{F_\ell} = -\lambda_j\delta_{\ell k}. \tag{A.9}$$

  Let p be an affine function on F_k, then

$$p = p(\mathbf{x}_i)\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}^{nd}_{i,F_k}\cdot\mathbf{t}_k\right)|_{F_k} - p(\mathbf{x}_j)\left(\boldsymbol{\phi}^{nd}_{j,F_k}\cdot\mathbf{t}_k\right)|_{F_k}. \tag{A.10}$$

References

[1] M. Ainsworth, *Robust a posteriori error estimation for nonconforming finite element approximation*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 42 (2005), pp. 2320–2341. 1

[2] M. Ainsworth, *A posteriori error estimation for lowest order Raviart-Thomas mixed finite elements* SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 30 (2007), pp. 189–204. 1

[3] M. Ainsworth and J. T. Oden, *A Posteriori Error Estimation in Finite Element Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2000. 1

[4] I. Babuška and T. Strouboulis, *The Finite Element Method and Its Reliability*, Numer. Math. Sci. Comput., Oxford Science Publication, New York, 2001. 1

[5] C. Bernardi and R. Verfürth, *Adaptive finite element methods for elliptic equations with non-smooth coefficients*, Numer. Math., 85:4(2000), 579-608. 1, 6

[6] F. Brezzi and M. Fortin, *Mixed and Hybrid Finite Element Methods*, Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, 15, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1991. 1, 3.1

[7] D. Boffi, F. Brezzi, and M. Fortin, Mixed Finite Element Methods and Applications, Springer Series in Computational Mathematics, 44, Springer, 2013. A

[8] Z. Cai, X. Ye, and S. Zhang, *Discontinuous Galerkin finite element methods for interface problems: A priori and a posteriori error estimations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 49:5 (2011), 1761-1787. 1, 4

[9] Z. Cai and S. Zhang, *Recovery-based error estimator for interface problems: conforming linear elements*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., Vol. 47, No. 3, pp. 2132–2156, 2009. (document), 1, 4, 4.1.2, 4.1.2, 6.1, 7, 7

[10] Z. Cai and S. Zhang, *Recovery-based error estimator for interface problems: mixed and nonconforming elements*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal, Vol. 48, No. 1, pp. 30–52, 2010. (document), 1, 4, 6.2, 6.2, 6.2, 6.3, 6.3, 7, 7

[11] Z. Cai and S. Zhang, *Flux recovery and a posteriori error estimators: Conforming elements for scalar elliptic equations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., Vol. 48, No. 2, pp. 578–602, 2010. 1, 7

[12] Z. Cai and S. Zhang, *Robust residual- and recovery a posteriori error estimators for interface problems with flux jumps*, Numer. Methods for PDEs, 28, 2, pp. 476–491, 2012. 1, 6.1

[13] Z. Cai and S. Zhang, *Robust equilibrated residual error estimator for diffusion problems: Conforming elements*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., Vol. 50, No. 1, pp. 151-170, 2012. 1, 7

[14] C. Carstensen and R. Verfürth, *Edge residuals dominate a posteriori error estimates for low order finite element methods*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 36 (1999), 1571-1587. 6.2

[15] P. G. Ciarlet, *The Finite Element Method for Elliptic Problems*, North-Holland, New York, 1978. 3.1

[16] M. Crouzeix and P. A. Raviart, *Conforming and nonconforming finite element methods for solving the stationary Stokes equations*, RAIRO Anal. Numer., 7 (1973), 33–76.

[17] V. Girault and P.-A. Raviart, *Finite Element Methods for Navier-Stokes Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. 3.1, 6.2

[18] K-Y. Kim, *A posteriori error analysis for locally conservative mixed methods*, Math. Comp., 76 (2007), 43-66. 1, 7

[19] R. Luce and B. I. Wohlmuth, *A local a posteriori error estimator based on equilibrated fluxes*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 42:4 (2004), 1394–1414. 1

[20] J. C. Nédélec, *Mixed finite elements in* $\Re^3$, Numer. Math., 35 (1980), 315-341. 1, 3.1

[21] J. C. Nédélec, *A new family of mixed finite elements in* $\Re^3$, Numer. Math., 50 (1986), 57-81. 1, 3.1

[22] J. S. Ovall, *Fixing a "bug" in recovery-type a posteriori error estimators*, Max-Planck-Institute fur Mathematick in den Naturwissenschaften, Leipig, Tech. Rep. no. 25, 2006. 1

[23] M. Petzoldt, *A posteriori error estimators for elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients*, Adv. Comp. Math., 16:1 (2002), 47-75. 1, 6, 6.1

[24] R. Verfürth, *A Review of A-Posteriori Error Estimation and Adaptive Mesh Refinement Techniques*, John Wiley and Teubner Series, Advances in Numerical Mathematics, 1996. 1

[25] R. Verfürth, *A Posteriori Error Estimation Techniques for Finite Element Methods*, Oxford Numerical Mathematics and Scientific Computation, Oxford University Press, 2013. 1

[26] O. C. Zienkiewicz and J. Z. Zhu, *A simple error estimator and adaptive procedure for practical engineering analysis*, Internat. J. Numer. Methods Engrg., 24 (1987), 337-357. 1

[27] O. C. Zienkiewicz and J. Z. Zhu, *The superconvergent patch recovery and a posteriori error estimates*, Internat. J. Numer. Methods Engrg., 33 (1992), *Part 1: The recovery technique*, 1331-1364; *Part 2: Error estimates and adaptivity*, 1365-1382. 1